



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/535,457	09/535,457 03/24/2000		Fred Christian Baij	29462	2374
23482	7590	03/29/2004		EXAMINER	
		SERVICE, S.C.	NGUYEN, CHI Q		
100 W LA THIRD FL	WRENCE OOR	ST	,	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
APPLETO	N, WI 54	VI 54911		3635	
				DATE MAILED: 03/29/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summary		09/535,457	BAIJ, FRED CHRISTIAN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
•٢		Chi Q Nguyen	3635				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tileply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	January 2004.					
,—	•	nis action is non-final.					
, —	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4\⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11,23-30 and 65-77</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· —	Claim(s) <u>1-11,24-30 and 65-77</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 March 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Applicat	ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		4) 🗀 Inta-dam (com	v (PTO-413)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	CT	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

- Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the applicant's amendment filed on 1/7/04.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9-11, 23-30, 65, 70, 71, and 73-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Day (US 5,632,095) in view of Leary (US 2,187,087).

Day discloses a lumber product used in standard in the industry for framing comprises a lumber piece known as a stud, which may be a 2x2, 2x3, 2x4, 2x6, 2x8, 2x10, or 12x12 framing stud of varying lengths, a 4x8 or 4x12 laminated framing lumber piece, such as plywood, of varying thickness, and may also be a 4x4 framing lumber piece of varying lengths, marking lines spaced at ½" intervals or pre-selected interval, such as 12, 16, and 24 inches or combination thereof, additional visible marking lines are imprinted or stamped on the lumber piece (col. 1, lines 43-55). The lumber product comprises a framed structure 10, such as the wall of a house, with horizontal 12 and vertical 14 framing lumber pieces, commonly known as footer/header and studs, respectively, visible marking lines 22, a 4x8 plywood laminated framing lumber piece 30, is also shown with visible marking lines 24 and 26 imprinted or stamped along all four edges on the face surface 27. It should be noted that the 16-inch and 24-inch increments are the industry standard for spacing framing studs, depending on the climate of the building are (cols. 2-3, figs. 2-3).

Day does not teach expressly the stud locator markings having respective leading edge lines, trailing edge lines and crossing lines between the leading and trailing edge lines. Leary teaches flexible template for stud locator markings having

- Art Unit: 3635

leading edge lines, trailing edge lines as shown in fig. 4. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Day with Leary for the stud locator markings having leading, trailing edge lines. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide more accurate control of locations of stud. Day and Leary do not teach specifically crossing lines between the leading and trailing edge lines, examiner takes Official Notice of the functional equivalent of the leading, trailing edge lines being clearly enough for positioning studs and the marking indicators devoid way when the studs being positioned.

Day teaches the structural elements for the stud locator markings as stated except for the at least one strap securing the plurality of elongate pieces of lumber together as the bundle, examiner takes Official Notice the fact that lumber come in bundles wrapping with straps are well known in the lumber art. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide more securement for transportation.

With regard to claims 2, 3, 5, 8, 66-69, and 72, Day and Leary teach the structural element for the stud-locating marker as stated. Day and Leary do not disclose expressly a plurality of stud locator markings spaced along the lengths interval of 8", 16", 24" the variation in spacing between the stud locator markings on a respective the framing lumber product, and between respective ones of the framing lumber products, being consistently no more than 0.13", elongated pieces of lumber, each of the plurality of stud locator markings comprising marking material deposited directly on the respective elongate piece of lumber at surface, including sets of 2x2 stud locator markings, spaced abut 8, and 16 inches, leading edge-to-edge, variations in spacing between stud locator

- Art Unit: 3635

markings on a framing lumber product being consistently no more than 0.13 in. leading edge to edge. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mark the studs at the desirable interval (e.g. 8", 16") and adjust the spacing variation between the stud locator markings of the studs being consistently no more than 0.13" are considered as obvious design choice based on desired use.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/12/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In addressing to the applicant's comments about no motivation in combination of Day and Leary (in attachments A, A2, A3):

As set forth, Day teaches lumber product or studs having a plurality of marking lines (could be in different designs or colors, col. 1, line 56). And Leary teaches tape markings; the marking intervals are corresponding to the lumber thickness (as shown in fig. 2). The examiner agrees with the applicant's arguments that Leary's markings on tape, which can slip, move, and/or break. However, the applicant had misled and misunderstood to the examiner's position, the purposes of making the combination Leary with Day are for wider spacing interval, easy to identify by viewer, every two lines marking are corresponding to the lumber thickness, thus a wood product with only stud spacing marking is provided. Moreover, the spacing lines taught by Day could be wider interval for each of lumber product or stud as taught by Leary.

In regard to the applicant's argument that none of the prior art teach "substantially devoid of any marking indicators away from the stud locator markings". As

- Art Unit: 3635

set forth in the Office action that the Day's stud marking lines could be wider for corresponding to the stud thickness as taught by Leary; since the lumber studs being on top of the marking lines thus being devoid it.

Therefore, examiner considers the combination of prior arts and the rejections are properly made and still stand.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 605-1224, Mon-Thu (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached at (703) 308-0839. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3635

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1113.

CQN 3/9/04

Carl D. Friedman

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600